IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SAMUEL MENDEZ,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	Civil Action No. 05-35E
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC.; DR. MARK BAKER; DR. FRAIDER))))	Judge Sean J. McLaughlin Magistrate Judge Susan Paradise Baxter
Defendants.)	

MOTION OF DEFENDANT PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE TO AMENDED COMPLAINT NUNC PRO TUNC

AND NOW comes the defendant, the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections, by its attorneys, Thomas W. Corbett, Jr., Attorney General, Robert A. Willig, Senior Deputy Attorney General, and Susan J. Forney, Chief Deputy Attorney General, Chief, Litigation Section, and hereby submits the following:

1. The undersigned attorney was recently assigned the above captioned case. A review of the docket revealed that the Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint on October 25, 2005 (See Doc. # 29). By Order dated November 3, 2005, this Court ordered the Defendants to file a response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on or before November 23, 2005 (See Text Order 11/03/2005). No response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint

Filed 04/19/2006

was filed on behalf of Defendant Pennsylvania Department of Corrections (hereinafter the State Defendant).

- 2. The State Defendant had previously filed a Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support on October 7, 2005 (<u>See</u> Docs. # 16, 17) in response to Plaintiff's original complaint (<u>See</u> Doc # 3). The State Defendant argued that the 11th Amendment barred suit in this case and that Plaintiff's medical complaints failed to state a constitutional claim. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint raised no new claims relevant to the State Defendant; in fact, the Amended Complaint fails to mention the State Defendant at all. If anything, the Amended Complaint appears to withdraw the claims against the State Defendant.
- 3. The State Defendant is willing to rely on the arguments made in its original Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support; however, if this Honorable Court wishes to have a further response from this Defendant, this Defendant asks for a brief extension of time in which to file such a response.
- 4. This Motion is not intended to, nor does it, prejudice Plaintiff in any way in light of the fact that the Amended Complaint contains no claims against the DOC. Moreover, as noted in the DOC's original Motion to Dismiss/Brief in Support, the DOC is not even a proper party to this action.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas W. Corbett, Jr.

Attorney General

/s/ Robert A. Willig ROBERT A. WILLIG Senior Deputy Attorney General Attorney I.D. No. 53581

Susan J. Forney

Chief Deputy Attorney General

Office of Attorney General 6th Floor, Manor Complex 564 Forbes Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Phone: (412) 565-5696 Fax: (412) 565-3019

Date: April 19, 2006